Richard Haeuber/DC/USEPA/US 12/20/2012 09:13 PM To Gary Lear

CC

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Conference Call with R7 to discuss the future of ozone monitoring at Konza Prairie - Kansas 3PM, Jan 4 2013

yes, it went through.....this continues to get messier, doesn't it? are you planning to be in tomorrow? If not, we can talk - I'll be in, though trying to get out by 4 at the latest, though it would be great to leave a little earlier. Regardless, let's try and talk.

Rick Haeuber, Ph.D.
Chief, Assessment and Communications Branch
Clean Air Markets Division
USEPA (6204J)
haeuber.richard@epa.gov
202-343-9250
http://www.twitter.com/EPAairmarkets
http://www.facebook.com/EPAairmarkets

-----Gary Lear/DC/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Timothy Sharac/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Gary Lear/DC/USEPA/US Date: 12/20/2012 06:03PM

Cc: Gregory Beachley/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Melissa Puchalski/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard

Haeuber/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: Fw: Conference Call with R7 to discuss the future of ozone monitoring at Konza

Prairie - Kansas 3PM, Jan 4 2013

Not sure if this went through the first time, so here it is again...

Gary Lear Environmental Scientist, USEPA 1310 L Street NW Washington DC 20005 202-343-9159

---- Forwarded by Gary Lear/DC/USEPA/US on 12/20/2012 06:02 PM -----

From: Gary Lear/DC/USEPA/US

To: Timothy Sharac/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Gregory Beachley/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Melissa Puchalski/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Rick

Haeuber

Date: 12/20/2012 05:27 PM

Subject: Re: Conference Call with R7 to discuss the future of ozone monitoring at

Konza Prairie - Kansas 3PM, Jan 4 2013

Tim, I don't think it's necessary to wait until Rick returns to have the call because, unfortunately, Konza Prairie is a LTER site on KSU land and once they figure that out (if they haven't already) they could easily decide unilaterally just to shut the site down completely

-- and we would have little or no recourse.

So, I think the content of the call is going to be more logistical and technical and less policy. Josh Tapp has seemed very reasonable on the phone, and I think he will continue to be polite and reasonable.

For message,

In preparation for the call, I think we should try to work through some alternatives with OAQPS, to see what's possible.

I assume that Robert Nichols is organizing the call, setting the agenda and running the call?

Gary Lear
Environmental Scientist, USEPA
1310 L Street NW
Washington DC 20005
202-343-9159

Inactive hide details for Timothy Sharac---12/20/2012 12:04:59 PM---Gary, I spoke with Robert Nichols just now and he would likTimothy Sharac---12/20/2012 12:04:59 PM---Gary, I spoke with Robert Nichols just now and he would like to set up a call with his top managemen

From: Timothy Sharac/DC/USEPA/US
To: Gary Lear/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Melissa Puchalski/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gregory Beachley/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 12/20/2012 12:04 PM

Subject: Conference Call with R7 to discuss the future of ozone monitoring at Konza

Prairie - Kansas 3PM, Jan 4 2013

Gary,

Cc:

I spoke with Robert Nichols just now and he would like to set up a call with his top management, Josh Tapp, the Chief of the Air Planning and Development Branch in Region 7; additional Region 7 staff; in addition to Tom Gross (Kansas Dept of Health and Environment) and me. The purpose of the call is to discuss the future of ozone monitoring at the Konza Prairie CASTNET (KNZ184) site. Given that Region 7 is requesting Branch Chief level folks onto the call, Melissa suggested that Rick be on this call as well. Rick will be out of the office so we'd need to reschedule, but I think it's a good idea if Rick is interested.

On a call yesterday, Lew Weinstock deferred to me and said Region 7

Melissa suggested it might be good to get Lew on the call to

point out this example,

Should we ask Rick to be on this call? He'll be out of the office until Jan 14. Are there others we should invite? Is the message we wish to convey about CASTNET/ozone monitoring (above) correct or should it be tweaked?

Tim

Timothy Sharac

Clean Air Markets Division U.S. EPA (6204J)

Email: sharac.timothy@epa.gov

Phone: 202-343-9180 Fax: 202-343-2356 The message we wish to convey is that CASTNET is a long-term monitoring program and moving a site or shutting it down to satisfy the State of Kansas's air monitoring doesn't appeal to us - or something along these lines.

On a call yesterday, Lew Weinstock deferred to me and said Region 7 is acting similarly to ozone regulations as they did to other air monitoring standards that OAQPS put out (I think it was PM2.5) but I'm not sure). Melissa suggested it might be good to get Lew on the call to point out this example, highlighting that Region 7 is either unaware or for some reason doesn't pay attention to air regulations that affect their region, and thus the states within their region.

Should we ask fack to be on this call? He'll be out of the office until Jan 14.

Are there others we should invite?

Is the message we wish to convey about CASTNET/ozone monitoring (above) correct or should it be tweaked?

mil

Timothy Sharac

(1402A) AND PLI

Email: sharac.timothy@epa.go

Phone: 202-343-9180

Fax: 202-343-2356